Pesticides and pollinators: Summarizing recent research









Acknowledgements

Funding Sources

Collaborators

Adam Alford, Purdue University Dr. Jeffrey Holland, Purdue University Dr. Brian Eitzer, Conn. Ag. Expt. Station Dr. Elizabeth Long, Ohio State University Dr. Jason Hoverman, Purdue University Krispn Given, Purdue University Dr. Marisol Sepulveda, Purdue University Jesse Miles, Purdue University Dr. Jessica Hua, Binghamton University John Obermeyer, Purdue University Ian Kaplan, Purdue University











Clothianidin and thiamethoxam use/unit area: ca. 2014



Use rates in corn have doubled since 2012



Douglas & Tooker (2015) Environmental Science & Technology Based on USGS data, Pesticide National Synthesis Project

Slide courtesy M. Douglas, Penn State Univ.



When bees meet corn: Unfortunate timing of events_{Bees are 'charged'} in flight!



Russell et al. 2013 Ecol. Modelling. https://doi.org/10.10 16/j.ecolmodel.2013. 06.005



Planting treated seeds requires lubrication (talc/graphite)



Drifting particles are captured as field is planted



<u>Risk map development</u>



But, foraging bees are *moving* across the landscape... how does this affect estimates?

- Assume 1.4 km foraging radius* around each colony
- Assume <u>no</u> static charge (i.e. bee surface area 1.05 cm² determines deposition)
- Assume no drift beyond 100 m (our farthest sample)



Forager exposure summary



- 94% of Indiana honey bees foraging at planting time will encounter dust during planting, up to 37.4 ng/bee (exceeds contact lethal dose)
- 1.57% of Indiana apiaries are outside deposition zone (assuming no drift beyond 100 m)

Talc/graphite is still primary approach for seed lubrication = No improvement in non-target exposure



Water solubility comparisons



Fate of neonicotinoids applied to corn seeds



Shoot P1250





Neonicotinoid fate: Measuring movement in real-time





Summary

- Planter dust remains an issue, likely more so than when first reported
- The vast majority of neonicotinoid applied to corn seeds
 never enters crop plants
- Neonicotinoid seed treatments are highly mobile via surface and sub-surface water, will move into non-crop pollen and nectar
- Effects on aquatic systems are only beginning to be understood
- No baseline data for vast majority of organisms encountering highest concentrations of field run-off

Solutions: Begin with reducing environmental loading

- Current use rates far outpace utility/economic benefit, esp. with low commodity prices
- Making untreated corn seed widely available would offer opportunity for correction and allow grower choice/comparison

BUT:

- High water solubility, so contaminating ground and surface water is unavoidable...
- Very high toxicity to pollinators = small margins for error in most applications

Neonicotinoid regulations?

- EU: moratorium on neonicotinoid seed treatments on most crops, first in 2015 and expanded to a ban currently
- Ontario, Canada: Require growers to scout fields in year prior to buying seed and confirm pest presence (as of 2016)
- Minnesota: Governor issued executive order to limit neonicotinoid use (08/16); no action yet
- EPA: Review of conditional registration granted in 2003 continues, decision expected in late 2018?

The End.